

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

ASHLEY ADAMS, individually and as the  
representative of the Estate of RODNEY GERALD  
ADAMS; and WANDA ADAMS, individually;

CARLETTE HUNTER JAMES, individually and as the  
representative of the Estate of KENNETH WAYNE  
JAMES; KRISTY JAMES, KRYSTAL JAMES,  
KENDRICK JAMES, ARLETT JAMES, JONATHAN  
JAMES and KENNETH EVANS, individually and as  
heirs-at-law to the Estate of Kenneth Wayne James, and  
MARY LOU JAMES, individually,

CADE HUDSON, individually and as the representative  
of the Estate of DOUGLAS HUDSON,

PLAINTIFFS

v.

BRAD LIVINGSTON, individually and in his official  
capacity, JOE OLIVER, NANCY BETTS, L. FIELDS,  
JOHN DOE, ROBERT LEONARD, BRANDON  
MATTHEWS, DEBRA GILMORE, SARAH RAINES,  
DANNY WASHINGTON, MATTHEW SEDA, TULLY  
FLOWERS, DORIS EDWARDS, LINDA McKNIGHT,  
REVOYDA DODD, RICK THALER, WILLIAM  
STEPHENS, ROBERT EASON, DENNIS MILLER,  
REGINALD GOINGS, and OWEN MURRAY in their  
individual capacities, TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE, and UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS  
MEDICAL BRANCH

DEFENDANTS

CIVIL ACTION NO.  
6:13-cv-712-KNM  
JURY DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFFS' SECOND NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Plaintiffs advise the Court of relevant supplemental authority supporting their  
response to Defendant University of Texas Medical Branch's motion to dismiss  
Plaintiffs' Americans with Disabilities Act and Rehabilitation Act claims (Doc. 5).

On January 16, 2014, Federal District Judge Nelva Gonzales Ramos denied a virtually identical motion in *Hinojosa v. Livingston*, Civil Action No. 2:13-CV-319, Doc. 24 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 16, 2014) (attached as *Exhibit I*). Judge Ramos ruled:

Plaintiff has alleged sufficient facts to state how the decedent was discriminated against. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant knew of the risks and dangers associated with certain medical conditions and medications, that Defendant knew the decedent suffered from those conditions and used those medications, and that despite that knowledge, Defendant failed to make reasonable accommodations, resulting in the decedent suffering more pain and punishment than non-disabled prisoners – namely, his death.

*Id.* p. 4. Judge Ramos further held “it is not enough for Defendant to claim that all prisoners in the [prison] – whether suffering from a disability or not – endured the same housing and living conditions that the decedent did.” *Id.*

The facts alleged in this case are exactly the same. Like Mr. Hinojosa, the decedents here suffered from heat-sensitive disabilities, but UTMB denied them any accommodations to protect them from the extreme indoor temperatures in Texas prisons. (If anything, this case is more compelling because when Mr. Hudson and Mr. James reported symptoms of heat exhaustion, UTMB medical providers failed to treat them. *See* Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint, Doc. 8, ¶¶ 154-163, 178-79, 187-89.) Thus, this Court should consider Judge Ramos’ decision as persuasive authority, and Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court deny UTMB’s motion to dismiss.

DATED: January 16, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

The Edwards Law Firm  
The Haehnel Building  
1101 East 11<sup>th</sup> Street  
Austin, Texas 78702  
Tel. 512-623-7727  
Fax. 512-623-7729

By /s/ Jeff Edwards

JEFF EDWARDS  
State Bar No. 24014406  
Scott Medlock  
State Bar No. 24044783

Brian McGiverin  
State Bar No. 24067760  
James C. Harrington  
State Bar No. 09048500

TEXAS CIVIL RIGHTS PROJECT  
1405 Montopolis Drive  
Austin, TX 78741  
(512) 474-5073 [phone]  
(512) 474-0726 [fax]

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

By my signature above, I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on all counsel of record through the Electronic Case Files System of the Eastern District of Texas.